## UNICELLULAR OPERATORS

RY

## JOSÉ BARRÍA AND KENNETH R. DAVIDSON<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. An operator is unicellular if its lattice of invariant subspaces is totally ordered by inclusion. The list of nests which are known to be the set of invariant subspaces of a unicellular operator is surprisingly short. We construct unicellular operators on  $l^p$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ , and on  $c_0$  with lattices isomorphic to  $\alpha + X + \beta^*$  where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are countable (finite or zero) ordinals, and X is in this short list. Certain other nests are attained as well.

An operator T is *unicellular* if its lattice of invariant subspaces is totally ordered by inclusion (i.e. Lat T is a nest). The purpose of this paper is to construct unicellular operators with prescribed lattices. These lattices include nests of order type  $\alpha + 1 + \beta^*$  for any countable ordinals  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

Halmos (see [13]) first asked for a description of those lattices which may be the invariant subspace lattice of a single operator acting on a separable Hilbert space. Which nests are *attainable* is an important special case, and it has been investigated by many authors. The best known unicellular operator is the Volterra integral operator on  $L^p(0,1)$ , which has a lattice order isomorphic to the unit interval [4]. Donoghue [6] showed that certain weighted unilateral shifts have only the obvious invariant spaces, a nest of order type  $1 + \omega^*$ . The weighted shifts have been extensively studied, especially by Nikol'skii [10–12], as well as [7, 15].

Construction of unicellular operators for more complicated nests has resisted attack, and only a few have been found. Notably, a unicellular bilateral weighted shift (with lattice order isomorphic to  $1 + \mathbf{Z} + 1$ ) has only recently been constructed by Domar [5]. More general unicellular Volterra operators have been found in [2, 14]. Harrison and Longstaff [8] constructed a unicellular operator with lattice of order type  $\omega + \omega + 1$  by putting two weighted shifts together. Their computation was tricky, and became very complex in a generalization by the first author [1] to the case  $\omega m + 1 + (\omega n)^*$ . In this paper, we simplify and generalize the method of [8]. This will form the major building block in our constructions.

Let B be an operator such that  $||B^n|| = O((n!)^{-d})$  for some d > 0 which has a cyclic vector and a cocyclic vector. We show (Corollary 4.6) that  $\alpha + \text{Lat } B + \beta^*$  is attainable for any countable ordinals  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  (they may be finite or zero, also). Since unicellular operators always have cyclic vectors [13, Theorem 4.4], these

Received by the editors April 20, 1983.

<sup>1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A15, 47B37.

Key words and phrases. Unicellular operator, invariant subspaces, attainable lattices.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Research partially supported by an NSERC grant.

hypotheses are readily seen to include most of the known examples. In particular,  $\alpha + 1 + \beta^*$  is attainable. It also includes the unicellular operators of order type  $(1 + \mathbf{Z} + 1)n$  and  $(1 + \mathbf{Z} + 1)\omega + 1$  which are constructed in §5.

There is no (maximal) nest on separable Hilbert space which is known to be unattainable. It seems plausible that all are attainable. We conjecture that, at least, all countable nests are attainable. This problem may be somewhat simplified by the results of [3, 9] which imply that a maximal nest is determined up to similarity by its order type. This reduces considerably the collection of nests that need be considered.

Although our main interest is in operators on Hilbert space, our methods are also valid in  $l^p$  or  $c_0$ , so we work in this more general setting.

1. Preliminaries. The constructions will take place using Banach spaces of a fixed type,  $l^p$  for  $1 \le p < \infty$  or  $c_0$ . These spaces will be denoted by letters  $\mathscr{H}$ ,  $\mathscr{H}$ ,  $\mathscr{H}$ , The standard basis will be denoted by  $\{e_n, n \ge 0\}$  or  $\{e_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ . We will use the notation  $e_n^*$  for the corresponding functionals in  $\mathscr{H}^*$ . Given copies  $\mathscr{H}_\beta$  of the space for all  $\beta$  less than a countable ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $\Sigma_{\beta < \alpha} \oplus \mathscr{H}_\beta$  will denote the  $l^p$  or  $c_0$  direct sum, which is also isomorphic to  $l^p$  or  $c_0$  itself.

Also note that there is a contractive projection  $P_{\beta}$  onto the  $\beta$ -summand. The dual space is the  $l^q$  or  $l^1$  direct sum of  $\sum_{\beta<\alpha} \oplus \mathscr{K}_{\beta}^*$ . When p=2, we make the usual antilinear identification of the dual with the original Hilbert space.

By the weighted shift on  $\mathcal{H}$  with weights  $a_n$ , we mean the operator  $Ae_n = a_n e_{n+1}$ ,  $n \ge 0$ . There is no loss of generality in assuming that  $a_n \ge 0$  [15], so we shall always do so. If  $a_n$  are monotone decreasing (nonincreasing) and belong to  $l^p$  for some  $p < \infty$ , then A is unicellular [10]. In this case,  $||A^k|| = \prod_{n=0}^{k-1} a_n = ||A^k e_0||$ . Also

(0) 
$$||A^{*k}e_m|| = \prod_{n=m-k}^{m-1} a_n = ||A^m||/||A^{m-k}||,$$

and for fixed k, this is decreasing as m increases.

The ordinal sum  $\mathcal{L}+\mathcal{M}$  of two lattices of (closed) subspaces  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  consists of all elements  $L\oplus 0$ ,  $L\in \mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{H}\oplus M$ ,  $M\in \mathcal{M}$  with the obvious lattice operations (cf. [13, p. 76]). Note that the unit of  $\mathcal{L}$  is identified with the zero of  $\mathcal{M}$ . All lattices will be complete with respect to intersection (= inf) and closed linear span (= join), and contain a zero  $\{0\}$  and a unit  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $\alpha$  is an ordinal,  $\alpha^*$  denotes the dual lattice of  $\alpha$ .

Suppose A and B are unicellular operators. It would be desirable to have a general procedure to produce an operator T with Lat  $T \cong \text{Lat } A + \text{Lat } B$ . One might attempt to build T as follows. Pick a cyclic vector x for A and a cyclic vector  $y^*$  for  $B^*$ . Let  $C = x \otimes y^*$  be the rank one operator  $Cz = \langle z, y^* \rangle x$ , and define  $T = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$ . It is easy to see that Lat T contains Lat A + Lat B. However, in general this is a proper subset because Domar [5] has shown that many bilateral weighted shifts have nonstandard invariant subspaces. Nonetheless, control of the growth rate of  $||A^n||$  and  $||B^n||$  often enables one to prove that T is unicellular [8, 1, 5]. The key step in our construction is to do this whenever B is a weighted shift and  $||A^n||$  dies off rapidly relative to  $||B^n||$ . Transfinite induction and some technicalities allow us to soup this up to B's with Lat  $B \cong (\alpha + 1)^*$  for any countable ordinal  $\alpha$ .

**2.** The key construction. The idea in [8] is to show that for two carefully chosen weighted shifts A and B, there are monomials in the operator T constructed above which converge to an operator D of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . We are able to do this in much greater generality, which will allow us to use induction to build up our operators.

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose S has a cyclic vector g and  $||S^n|| = O((n!)^{-s})$  for some s > 0. Let A be any unilateral weighted shift with weights decreasing monotonely to zero and belonging to  $l^p$  for some p > 0 such that

(1) 
$$||S^n|| = O(||A^{n+k}||)$$
 for all  $k \ge 0$ .

Then there is an operator T of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} S & C \\ 0 & A \end{bmatrix}$  such that Lat  $T = \text{Lat } S + (\omega + 1)^*$ . T also has a cyclic vector.

REMARK 2.2. Condition (1) can always be achieved. If 0 < r < s, the shift with weights  $(n + 1)^{-r}$  will do. Condition (1) implies the following stronger condition:

(1') 
$$||S^n|| = o(n^{-1}||A^{n+k}||) \quad \text{for all } k \ge 0.$$

To see this, first notice that because  $a_n^p$  is monotone decreasing and summable,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} na_n^p = 0$ . Hence,

$$||A^{n+k+p}|| \le a_n^p ||A^{n+k}|| = o(n^{-1}||A^{n+k}||).$$

Consequently,

$$||S^n|| = O(||A^{n+k+p}||) = o(n^{-1}||A^{n+k}||).$$

Furthermore,  $||S^n||/||A^{n+k}|| = O(a_n^p)$ , hence

(1") 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||S^n||/||A^{n+k}|| < \infty \text{ for all } k \ge 0.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. For convenience, multiply S and A by a scalar so that they both have norm at most one. First we construct C. Choose a sequence  $c_n$  of positive real numbers in  $l^1$  such that

(2) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} c_n^{-1} \left( \sum_{m > n} c_m \right) = 0.$$

Next, we choose an increasing sequence  $\mu_n$  of positive integers such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}c_n^{-1}a_{\mu_n}=0$$

and

(4) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} c_n^{-1} \mu_n \frac{\|S^{\mu_n - \mu_{n-1}}\|}{\|A^{\mu_n}\|} = 0.$$

This choice is made inductively. (3) can be achieved by taking  $\mu_n$  sufficiently large. If  $\mu_{n-1}$  is defined, then (1') implies that for t big enough,  $\|S^{t-\mu_{n-1}}\| < (c_n/n)\|A^t\|/t$ . Thus (4) can be achieved by taking  $\mu_n$  large enough as well. The operator C is defined as

$$C = g \otimes \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m e_{\mu_m}^*.$$

The following lemma is the main ingredient in the proof of Theorem 2.1.

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $T = \binom{S C}{0 A}$  where S and A are contractions satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1, and C is defined as above. Let  $\lambda_n = (c_n ||A^{\mu_n}||)^{-1}$ , and let

$$D = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{S^{j}g}{\|A^{j}\|} \otimes e_{j}^{*}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n T^{\mu_n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & D \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

PROOF. Write

$$\lambda_n T^{\mu_n+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_n S^{\mu_n+1} & X_n \\ 0 & \lambda_n A^{\mu_n+1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\|\lambda_n A^{\mu_n+1}\| = \|A^{\mu_n+1}\|/c_n\|A^{\mu_n}\| = a_{\mu_n+1}/c_n$$

which tends to zero by (3). By (1),  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||\lambda_n S^{\mu_n+1}|| = 0$  also. Now

$$X_n = \lambda_n \sum_{j=0}^{\mu_n} S^j C A^{\mu_n - j}.$$

Set  $C_m = g \otimes c_m e_{\mu_m}^*$ , and  $X_{n,m} = \lambda_n \sum_{j=0}^{\mu_n} S^j C_m A^{\mu_n - j}$ . Clearly,  $C = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C_m$  and  $X_n = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} X_{n,m}$ . Also,

$$S^{j}C_{m}A^{\mu_{n}-j}=c_{m}S^{j}g\otimes A^{*\mu_{n}-j}e_{\mu_{m}}^{*}.$$

If m < n,  $A^{*j}e_{\mu_m}^* = 0$  for  $j > \mu_m$ . Thus

$$||X_{n,m}|| = \lambda_n c_m \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{\mu_m} S^{\mu_n - j} g \otimes A^{*j} e_{\mu_m}^* \right\|$$

$$\leq \lambda_n c_m (\mu_m + 1) ||S^{\mu_n - \mu_m}|| ||g||$$

$$\leq c_m ||g|| c_n^{-1} \mu_n \frac{||S^{\mu_n - \mu_{n-1}}||}{||A^{\mu_n}||}.$$

Hence by (4),

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \|X_{n,m}\| \leq \|g\| \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m\right) \lim_{n\to\infty} c_n^{-1} \mu_n \frac{\|S^{\mu_n - \mu_{n-1}}\|}{\|A^{\mu_n}\|} = 0.$$

If m > n, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_{n,m}\| &\leq \lambda_n c_m \sum_{j=0}^{\mu_n} \|S^j\| \|g\| \|A^{*\mu_n - j} e_{\mu_m}^*\| \\ &\leq \frac{c_m}{c_n} \|g\| \|A^{\mu_n}\|^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\mu_n} \|S^j\| \|A^{*\mu_n - j} e_{\mu_n}^*\| \\ &= \frac{c_m}{c_n} \|g\| \sum_{j=0}^{\mu_n} \frac{\|S^j\|}{\|A^j\|} \, . \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from (0). Hence by (2),

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} ||X_{n,m}|| \le ||g|| \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{||S^{j}||}{||A^{j}||} \right) \lim_{n \to \infty} c_n^{-1} \left( \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} c_m \right) = 0.$$

Finally, using (0) again, m = n gives

$$X_{n,n} = \lambda_n c_n \sum_{j=0}^{\mu_n} S^j g \otimes A^{*\mu_n - j} e_{\mu_n}^* = \sum_{j=0}^{\mu_n} \frac{S^j g}{\|A^j\|} \otimes e_j^*.$$

Since  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} ||S^{j}g|| / ||A^{j}||$  converges,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n T^{\mu_n+1} = \lim_{n\to\infty} X_{n,n} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{S^j g}{\|A^j\|} \otimes e_j^*. \quad \blacksquare$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1 (CONTINUED). Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an invariant subspace for T. If  $\mathcal{M}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus 0$ , it belongs to Lat S and hence to Lat  $S + (\omega + 1)^*$ . Otherwise, let  $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{M} \cap (\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus 0)$ , which is clearly also invariant for T. We need to show that  $\mathcal{M}_0 = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus 0$ , for then  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{N}$  for some invariant subspace  $\mathcal{N}$  of A. The hypotheses on A force  $\mathcal{N}$  to be standard.

In any case, the closure  $\mathcal{N}$  of the range of the contractive projection of  $\mathcal{M}$  onto  $\mathcal{H}_2$  is always invariant for A. Since it is nonzero, it equals  $\operatorname{span}\{e_n, n \ge n_0\}$  for some integer  $n_0$ . By Lemma 2.3, monomials in T converge in norm to an operator  $D = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} S^j g/\|A^j\| \otimes e_j^*$ . Thus  $\mathcal{M}$  is invariant for D, and so  $\mathcal{M}$ , and indeed  $\mathcal{M}_0$ , contains  $S^j g$  for  $j \ge n_0$ . But g is cyclic for S, so  $\mathcal{M}_0$  together with  $S^j g$ ,  $0 \le j < n_0$ , spans  $\mathcal{H}_1$ . Thus  $\mathcal{M}_0$  has finite codimension in  $\mathcal{H}_1$ . As  $\mathcal{N}$  has finite codimension in  $\mathcal{H}_2$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{M}$  has finite codimension also.

Suppose  $\mathcal{M}_0$  does not equal  $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus 0$ . Consider the restriction B of the adjoint  $T^*$  to the invariant subspace  $\mathcal{M}^\perp$ . Since  $\mathcal{M}^\perp$  is finite dimensional and T is quasinilpotent, B must be nilpotent. Let q be an integer such that  $B^q = 0$ . Then  $T^{*q}$  annihilates  $\mathcal{M}^\perp$ , and thus the range of  $T^q$  is contained in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Choose any  $\mu_m \geqslant n_0$  such that  $\mu_m > \mu_{m-1} + q$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  contains  $T^q(0 \oplus e_{\mu_m-q+1}) = ag \oplus be_{\mu_m+1}$  where a and b are both nonzero. Thus  $\mathcal{M}$  contains the vector g, which is cyclic for S, and hence all of  $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus 0$ .

COROLLARY 2.4. If S has a cyclic vector and  $||S^n|| = O((n!)^{-s})$  for s > 0, then Lat  $S + (\omega + 1)^*$  is attainable.

COROLLARY 2.5 [1]. For any finite nonnegative integers m and n,  $\omega m + 1 + (\omega n)^*$  is attainable.

PROOF.  $(\omega m + 1)^*$  is obtained by induction as the lattice of an operator B. Since  $B^*$  is unicellular (with lattice  $\omega m + 1$ ), it has a cyclic vector. So by induction n times more,  $\omega m + 1 + (\omega n)^*$  is obtained. One need only verify the norm estimate at each stage. These will be verified in the next section.

REMARK 2.6. Given S as in Theorem 2.1, the operators A and C can be chosen to have arbitrarily small norm. Lemma 2.3 holds for any vector g, even if it is not cyclic.

3. Norm estimates. We need a method for controlling the growth of  $||T^n||$  for the operators we construct. For our purposes, the tidiest and sharpest results are obtained by using specific growth constants. However, in other contexts a more general result might be required. Such a result is stated without proof at the end of the section.

Let

$$M(k, \varepsilon) = \sup k^n (n!)^{-\varepsilon}$$
.

This is finite, and achieves its maximum at  $n = [k^{1/\epsilon}]$ . Since  $k^n$  is the sum of all the multinomial coefficients  $\binom{n}{m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k}$  it follows that

$$\binom{n}{m_1m_2\cdots m_k}\leqslant M(k,\varepsilon)(n!)^{\varepsilon}.$$

Thus if  $m_i$  are nonnegative integers with  $\sum_{i=1}^k m_i = n$ , we obtain

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^k m_i!\right)^{-1} \leqslant M(k,\varepsilon)(n!)^{\varepsilon-1}.$$

LEMMA 3.1. Let  $p_k$  be an increasing sequence of positive real numbers, and  $\varepsilon_k$  a sequence monotonely decreasing to zero. Suppose that  $||A_k^n|| \leq (n!)^{-p_k}$  for  $n, k \geq 1$ , and  $||C_k|| \leq [k!M(k+1,\varepsilon_k)M(2,\varepsilon_k)]^{-p_k}$ . Let

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & & & \bigcirc \\ C_1 & A_2 & & \\ & C_2 & A_3 & \\ \bigcirc & & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

act on  $\mathcal{H} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus \mathcal{H}_n$ . Then  $||T^n|| = o((n!)^{-p_1 + \epsilon})$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

PROOF. The operator  $T^n$  has nonzero entries only on the subdiagonals for  $0 \le r \le n$ . Let  $T_{(r)}^n$  denote the rth subdiagonal, which has entries of the form

$$X_{r,i} = \sum A_i^{m_0} C_i A_{i+1}^{m_1} C_{i+1} \cdots C_{i+r-1} A_{i+r}^{m_r},$$

where the sum runs over all nonnegative integer solutions of  $\sum_{j=0}^{r} m_j = n - r$ . The number of solutions of  $\sum_{j=0}^{r} m_n = n - r$  is exactly  $\binom{n}{r}$ .

Now  $||T_{(r)}^n|| = \sup ||X_{r,i}||$ . Although there is no condition on the relative sizes of the various  $||C_k||$ , the *estimates* are monotone decreasing. So it suffices to estimate  $||X_{r,1}||$  in order to bound  $||T_{(r)}^n||$ . In particular, note that  $||C_k|| \le 1$  for all k since  $M(k, \varepsilon) \ge 1$ .

Let  $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$  be given, and set  $\delta = \varepsilon/2 p_1$ . We compute

$$\begin{split} \|T_{(r)}^{n}\| &\leq {n \choose r} \prod_{j=1}^{r} \|C_{j}\| \max \prod_{j=0}^{r} \|A_{j+1}^{m_{j}}\| \\ &\leq {n \choose r} \|C_{r}\| \max \prod_{j=0}^{r} (m_{j}!)^{-p_{1}} \\ &\leq {n \choose r} [r!M(r+1,\varepsilon_{r})M(2,\varepsilon_{r})]^{-p_{1}} M(r+1,\delta)^{p_{1}} ((n-r)!)^{-p_{1}(1-\delta)} \\ &\leq {n \choose r} \left[ \frac{M(r+1,\varepsilon)}{M(r+1,\delta_{r})} \right]^{p_{1}} (r!(n-r)!M(2,\varepsilon_{r}))^{-p_{1}(1-\delta)} \\ &\leq {n \choose r} \left[ \frac{M(r+1,\delta)}{M(r+1,\varepsilon_{r})} \right]^{p_{1}} \left[ \frac{M(2,\delta)}{M(2,\varepsilon_{r})} \right]^{p_{1}(1-\delta)} (n!)^{-p_{1}(1-\delta)^{2}}. \end{split}$$

Let

$$K = \sup_{r \geqslant 0} \left[ \frac{M(r+1,\delta)}{M(r+1,\varepsilon_r)} \right]^{p_1} \left[ \frac{M(2,\delta)}{M(2,\varepsilon_r)} \right]^{p_1(1-\delta)},$$

which is finite since the terms are less than one if  $\varepsilon_r \leqslant \delta$ . Hence

$$||T^n|| \le \sum_{r=0}^n ||T_{(r)}^n|| \le K \sum_{r=0}^n {n \choose r} (n!)^{-p_1(1-\delta)^2}$$

$$= K2^n (n!)^{-p_1(1-\delta)^2}$$

$$= o((n!)^{-p_1(1-2\delta)}) = o((n!)^{-p_1+\epsilon}). \blacksquare$$

COROLLARY 3.2. Suppose

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & C \\ 0 & A_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad and \quad ||A_i^n|| = O((n!)^{-p})$$

for i = 1, 2, p > 0. Then  $||T^n|| = o((n!)^{-p+\epsilon})$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

COROLLARY 3.3. Suppose

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & & & * \\ & A_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ O & & & A_n \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $||A_i^n|| = O((n!)^{-p})$  for 1 = 1, ..., n, and p > 0. Then  $||T^n|| = o((n!)^{-p+\epsilon})$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Remark 3.4.** Suppose the numbers  $p_k$  are strictly increasing, and set

$$T_{k} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{k+1} & & \bigcirc \\ C_{k+1} & A_{k+2} & & \\ & C_{k+2} & \ddots & \\ \bigcirc & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that  $||T_k^n|| = o((n!)^{-p_{k+1}+\varepsilon})$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

It may sometimes be desirable to require  $||A_i^k||$  to die off much more rapidly than  $(n!)^{-s}$ . We state the following result without proof. It will not be used in our constructions.

PROPOSITION 3.5. Let  $\varepsilon(k)$  be monotone decreasing to zero, and let  $A_0$  be the weighted shift with weights  $\varepsilon(k)$ . Suppose that  $||A_k^n|| \le ||A_0^{8^k n}||$  and  $||C_k|| \le ||A_0^{4^k}||$ . Then

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & & \bigcirc \\ C_1 & A_2 & \\ & C_2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ \bigcirc & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

satisfies  $||T^n|| = o(||A_0^{2n}||)$ .

**4. Countable ordinals.** We are ready to construct unicellular operators for arbitrary countable (successor) ordinals. It is an elementary fact that the restriction of an operator T to an invariant subspace  $\mathscr{M}$  has Lat  $T|\mathscr{M}=\{\mathscr{L}\in \text{Lat }T\colon \mathscr{L}\subseteq \mathscr{M}\}$ . Thus it will suffice to construct operators T with Lat  $T\cong \omega\alpha+1$  for countable ordinals  $\alpha$ . In fact, we will construct T with Lat  $T\cong (\omega\alpha+1)^*$ . The dual  $T^*$  will have the desired lattice. This does not quite work for  $c_0$ , but the nature of the operators constructed is such that those T acting on  $l^1$  are of the form  $S^*$  for an operator S on  $c_0$ . Hence, Lat  $S\cong \omega\alpha+1$ . The details will be left to the reader.

First, we describe the nature of the operators to be constructed. For convenience, we will call these operators shifts of class  $\alpha$  with weight interval [a, b]. Let [a, b] be an interval in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Let p be a function from  $\alpha$  into [a, b] such that

$$p_{-}(\beta) = \sup_{\gamma < \beta} p(\gamma) < p(\beta)$$

for all  $\beta < \alpha$ . Let  $p_{\beta}$  denote  $p(\beta)$ . Set

$$\varepsilon_{\beta} = \min\left\{\frac{1}{3}(p_{\beta+1} - p_{\beta}), 1\right\}$$

for  $\beta < \alpha$ . The operator T to be constructed will act on the space  $\mathscr{H} = \sum_{\beta < \alpha} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{\beta}$ . For  $\beta < \alpha$ , let  $A_{\beta}$  be the weighted unilateral shift on  $\mathscr{H}_{\beta}$  with weights  $\varepsilon_{\beta}(n+1)^{-p_{\beta}}$ . Then

$$||A_{\beta}^{n}|| \leq \varepsilon_{\beta}^{n}(n!)^{-p_{\beta}} \leq (n!)^{-p_{\beta}} \quad \text{for } n \geqslant 1,$$

and

$$||A_{\beta}^{n}|| \ge (n!)^{-p_{\beta}-\epsilon_{\beta}}$$
 for n sufficiently large.

For  $\beta + 1 < \alpha$ , we define rank one operators  $C_{\beta} = \phi_{\beta} \otimes \psi_{\beta}$  from  $\mathscr{H}_{\beta}$  to  $\mathscr{X}_{\beta} = \sum_{\beta < \gamma < \alpha} \oplus \mathscr{H}_{\gamma}$ , with  $||\phi_{\beta}|| < 1$ , and  $\psi_{\beta} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n}^{\beta} e_{\mu_{n}^{\beta}}^{*}$  satisfying the following conditions:

(2') 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( c_n^{\beta} \right)^{-1} \sum_{m > n} c_m^{\beta} = 0, \qquad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{\beta} < \varepsilon_{\beta};$$

(3') 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(c_n^{\beta}\right)^{-1} \left(\mu_n^{\beta}!\right)^{-p_{\beta}} = 0;$$

(4') 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left(c_n^{\beta}\right)^{-1} \mu_n^{\beta} \frac{\left[\left(\mu_n^{\beta} - \mu_{n-1}^{\beta}\right)!\right]^{-p_{\beta+1} + \varepsilon_{\beta}}}{\|A_n^{\mu_n^{\beta}}\|} = 0.$$

Define  $T = \sum_{\beta < \alpha} A_{\beta} + \sum_{\beta+1 < \alpha} C_{\beta}$  and  $T_{\beta} = T | \mathcal{X}_{\beta}$ . The vectors  $\phi_{\beta}$  are chosen so that the following conditions are satisfied:

(5') 
$$||T^n|| = O((n!)^{-p_1+\varepsilon}) \text{ for some } \varepsilon > 0, \text{ and}$$

$$||T_{\beta}^n|| = O((n!)^{-p_{\beta+1}+\varepsilon}) \text{ for all } \beta + 1 < \alpha, \text{ and some } \varepsilon < \varepsilon_p;$$

(6') 
$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \|\phi_{\beta+j}\| = 0 \quad \text{for all } \beta < \alpha \text{ such that } \beta + \omega \leqslant \alpha$$

and

(7') 
$$\phi_{\beta}$$
 is cyclic for  $T_{\beta}$ .

The operator T so defined is what we call a shift of class  $\alpha$  with weight interval [a, b].

REMARK 4.1. The main concern of this section is to prove the existence of the operator T described above. This is important because these operators will give all the nests which are order isomorphic to countable ordinals. The existence of T is a nontrivial problem because (5')-(7') are very restrictive conditions. The construction of  $\psi_{\beta}$  satisfying (2')-(4') can be done easily. Indeed, this follows from the proof of Theorem 2.1 because the condition (1) there is satisfied by  $A = \varepsilon_{\beta}^{-1}A_{\beta}$  and the weighted shift S with weights  $(n+1)^{-p_{\beta+1}+\varepsilon_{\beta}}$ .

LEMMA 4.2. Suppose B acts on a space  $\mathcal{K}$ , has a cyclic vector f, and  $||B^n|| = O((n!)^{-d})$  for some d > 0. Let T be a shift of class  $\alpha$  with weight interval [a, b] and 0 < a < b < d.

- (i) Given  $\delta > 0$ , there is a rank one operator C with  $||C|| < \delta$  so that  $S = \binom{BC}{0T}$  has Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ .
- (ii) If B is a shift of class  $\beta$  with weight interval  $[d_1, d_2]$  and  $b < d_1$ , then S is a shift of class  $\alpha + \beta$  with weight interval  $[a, d_2]$ .
  - (iii) T is unicellular.

PROOF. We assume that ||f|| = 1. First, suppose  $\alpha$  is a successor ordinal  $\alpha = \alpha_0 + n$  with  $\alpha_0$  a limit ordinal or 1. Define  $C = C_{\alpha_0 + n - 1} = f \otimes \psi_{\alpha_0 + n - 1}$  where  $\psi_{\alpha_0 + n - 1}$ 

satisfies (2')–(4') with  $p_{\alpha_0+n}=d$  and  $\varepsilon_{\alpha_0+n-1}=\frac{1}{3}(d-b)$ . Then *n* applications of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 3.2 show that

$$B' = \begin{bmatrix} B & C_{\alpha_0 + n - 1} & & \bigcirc & \\ & A_{\alpha_0 + n - 1} & C_{\alpha_0 + n - 2} & & \\ & & & A_{\alpha_0 + n - 2} & \ddots & \\ & & & & & C_{\alpha_0} \\ & \bigcirc & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & A_{\alpha_0} \end{bmatrix}$$

has Lat  $B' \cong \text{Lat } B + (1 + \omega^* n)$ . If B was a shift of class  $\beta$  for  $[d_1, d_2]$   $(d_1 > d)$ , then B' is a shift of class  $n + \beta$  for  $[p_{\alpha_0}, d_2]$ . By Corollary 3.2,

$$||B'^n|| = o\left((n!)^{-p_{\alpha_0}+\delta_0}\right)$$

with  $\delta_0 = \frac{1}{2}(p_{\alpha_0} - p_{-}(\alpha_0))$ . Clearly  $T' = \sum_{\beta < \alpha_0} (A_{\beta} + C_{\beta})$  is a shift of class  $\alpha_0$  with the weight interval  $[a, p_{-}(\alpha_0)]$ .

Thus, all the assertions of the lemma involving B can be proved under the assumption that  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal. In this case, for  $\beta < \alpha$ , define

$$c_{\beta} = \min \{ (b - a)^{-1} \delta \|\phi_{\beta}\|^{1/2}, \frac{1}{2} (1 - \|\phi_{\beta}\|) \}.$$

Define  $C = f \otimes \sum_{\beta < \alpha} c_{\beta} \psi_{\beta}$ . Then

$$||C|| \leq (b-a)^{-1}\delta \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} ||\psi_{\beta}|| < \delta(b-a)^{-1} \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \varepsilon_{\beta} < \delta.$$

Let  $S = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ 0 & T \end{bmatrix}$ . Let  $C'_{\beta} = (I - P_{\beta})SP_{\beta}$ . Then

$$C'_{B} = C_{B} + CP_{B} = (\phi_{B} + c_{B}f) \otimes \psi_{B}.$$

Note that  $C'_{\beta}$  is still a rank one operator with the same initial functional  $\psi_{\beta}$ , with  $\phi'_{\beta} = \phi_{\beta} + c_{\beta}f$  as the range and

$$\|\phi_{\beta}'\| \le \|\phi_{\beta}\| + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \|\phi_{\beta}\|) < 1,$$

and  $\lim_{j\to\infty} ||\phi'_{\beta+j}|| = 0$ .

Now we are ready to show that Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ . Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$  is contained in  $\mathscr{M} \oplus \mathscr{M} \oplus$ 

$$R_{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{\beta} & C_{\beta}' \\ 0 & A_{\beta}' \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is clear that  $\mathscr{M}$  is also invariant for  $R_{\beta}$  (here  $\mathscr{M}$  is considered as a subspace of  $\mathscr{K} \oplus \mathscr{X}_{\beta} \oplus \mathscr{K}'_{\beta}$ ).

By Corollary 3.2,  $||S_{\beta}^n|| = o((n!)^{-p_{\beta+1}+\epsilon_{\beta}})$  and so  $||S_{\beta}^n|| = o(||(A_{\beta}')^{n+k}||)$  for  $k \ge 0$ . Conditions (2')–(4') allow us to apply Lemma 2.3 to get monomials in  $R_{\beta}$  converging to

$$D'_{\beta} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{S^{j}_{\beta} \phi'_{\beta}}{\|A'^{j}_{\beta}\|} \otimes e'^{*}_{j},$$

where  $\{e_j'\}_{j\geqslant 0}$  is the standard basis for  $\mathscr{K}_{\beta}'$ . Now  $D_{\beta}' = D_{\beta}'P_{\beta}'$ , and  $P_{\beta}'\mathscr{M}$  is dense in  $\mathscr{H}_{\beta}'$ . Hence  $D_{\beta}'e_0' = \phi_{\beta}'$  belongs to  $\mathscr{M}$ . So  $\mathscr{M}_1 = \mathscr{M} \cap (\mathscr{K} \oplus \mathscr{X}_{\beta})$  contains  $\phi_{\beta}' = \phi_{\beta} + c_{\beta}f$ .

Now we repeat this procedure  $\omega$  times. Since  $P_{\beta+1}\mathcal{M}_1$  contains  $P_{\beta+1}\phi_{\beta}$  which is cyclic for  $A_{\beta+1}$ , we obtain  $\overline{P_{\beta+1}\mathcal{M}_1} = \mathcal{H}_{\beta+1}$ . Repeating the process above with  $R_{\beta+1}$ , we conclude that  $\phi'_{\beta+1} = \phi_{\beta+1} + c_{\beta+1}f$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}$ . By induction, the vectors  $\phi'_{\beta+j} = \phi_{\beta+j} + c_{\beta+j}f$  belong to  $\mathcal{M}$ . Since  $c_{\beta+j}^{-1} ||\phi_{\beta+j}||$  tends to 0 as j tends to  $\infty$ , it follows that f belongs to  $\mathcal{M}$ .

But f is cyclic for B, whence all of  $\mathcal{K}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}$ . So  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{N}$  where  $\mathcal{N}$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$  invariant for T. This concludes the proof that Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ .

Now we take up the unicellularity of T. Fix  $\mathscr{M}$  in Lat T. Let  $\beta$  be the least ordinal so that  $\overline{P_{\beta}\mathscr{M}}=\mathscr{H}'_{\beta}$  is not  $\{0\}$ . Then the first part of the induction above shows that  $\phi_{\beta}$  belongs to  $\mathscr{M}$ . Since  $\phi_{\beta}$  is cyclic for  $T_{\beta}$  we conclude that  $\mathscr{X}_{\beta}\subseteq\mathscr{M}$  and so  $\mathscr{M}=\mathscr{L}\oplus\mathscr{X}_{\beta}$  where  $\mathscr{L}$  is an invariant subspace for  $A_{\beta}$ . This implies that T is unicellular.

Finally, we further assume that B is a shift of class  $\beta$  with weight interval  $[d_1, d_2]$  where  $d_1 > b$ . To prove that the operator S constructed above is a shift of class  $\alpha + \beta$  with weight interval  $[a, d_2]$  we have still to verify conditions (5') and (7'). Condition (5') follows from Corollary 3.2. Since Lat  $S \cong \text{Lat } B + \text{Lat } T$ , and since both B and T are unicellular, then S is unicellular. Now condition (7') follows immediately.

Theorem 4.3. For every countable ordinal, the lattice  $\alpha + 1$  is attainable.

**PROOF.** By the remarks at the beginning of this section, it suffices to show that  $(\omega \alpha + 1)^*$  is attainable for every countable ordinal  $\alpha$ . This in turn will be achieved by proving the existence of shifts of class  $\alpha$  for all such ordinals. We assume that shifts of class  $\beta$  with arbitrarily prescribed weight intervals exist for all ordinal  $\beta < \alpha$ .

First, suppose  $\alpha = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1$  with  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_1$  less than  $\alpha$ . Given [a, b], choose  $a_1$  and  $b_1$  with  $a < a_1 < b_1 < b$ , and let  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  be shifts of class  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_1$  with weights in  $[a, a_1]$  and  $[b_1, b]$ , respectively. By Lemma 4.2, there is a shift S of class  $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 = \alpha$  with weights in [a, b].

In the second case,  $\alpha > \alpha_0 + \alpha_1$  whenever  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_1$  are less than  $\alpha$ . Write  $\alpha = \lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n$ , where each  $\alpha_n$  is less than  $\alpha$ . Then  $\alpha = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n$ . Given [a, b], let  $[a_n, b_n]$  be disjoint intervals contained in [a, b] with  $a_{n+1} > b_n$  for all  $n \ge 1$ . Let  $B_n$  be shifts of class  $\alpha_n$  with weights in  $[a_n, b_n]$ . Define  $E_n$  using Lemma 4.2 so that

$$\operatorname{Lat}\begin{pmatrix} B_{n+1} & E_n \\ 0 & B_n \end{pmatrix} \cong \operatorname{Lat} B_{n+1} + \operatorname{Lat} B_n,$$

and so that  $||E_n||$  is small enough to satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 3.1 with  $\varepsilon_n = \frac{1}{3}(a_{n+1} - b_n)$ . Let

Then T has the form of a shift of class  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \alpha$ . All the properties are automatic except for (5') and (7'). The first of these conditions follows from Lemma 3.1. The second will follow after we prove that T is unicellular. Let  $\mathscr{M}$  be invariant for T, and let n be the least integer for which  $\overline{P_n\mathscr{M}} = \mathscr{N}$  is not  $\{0\}$ . As in the proof of Lemma 4.2, it follows that the vector  $g_{n+1}$  in the range of  $E_n$  must belong to  $\mathscr{M}$ . The intersection of  $\mathscr{M}$  with  $\mathscr{X}_n = \sum_{k=n+1} \oplus \mathscr{K}_k$  is invariant for T, so by repeating the argument, we obtain that  $g_{n+2}$  belongs to  $\mathscr{M}$  as well. Inductively, one finds that  $g_{n+k}$  belongs to  $\mathscr{M}$  for all  $k \ge 1$ .

Now  $g_{n+k}$  is cyclic for  $B_{n+k}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_{n+k}$ . We wish to show that  $B_{n+k}^j g_{n+k}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}$  for all  $j \ge 0$ . Suppose  $B_{n+k}^j g_{n+k}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then

$$TB_{n+k}^{j}g_{n+k} = B_{n+k}^{j+1}g_{n+k} + E_{n+k}B_{n+k}^{j}g_{n+k} = B_{n+k}^{j+1}g_{n+k} + \lambda g_{n+k+1}.$$

Hence  $B_{n+k}^{j+1}g_{n+k}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{X}_n$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}$ , so  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{X}_n \oplus \mathcal{N}$ , and  $\mathcal{N}$  belongs to Lat  $B_n$ . By Lemma 4.2, each  $B_n$  is unicellular, so T is unicellular also.

COROLLARY 4.4. If B has a cyclic vector and  $||B^n|| = o((n!)^{-d})$  for some d > 0, then Lat  $B + \alpha^*$  is attainable for every countable ordinal  $\alpha$ .

COROLLARY 4.5. If  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are countable ordinals, then  $\alpha + 1 + \beta^*$  is an attainable lattice on  $c_0$  and  $l^p$  for  $1 \le p < \infty$ .

COROLLARY 4.6. If B is acting on  $l^p$ ,  $l , B and <math>B^*$  have cyclic vectors,  $||B^n|| = o((n!)^{-d})$  for some d > 0, and countable ordinals  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are given, then  $\alpha + \text{Lat } B + \beta^*$  is attainable.

PROOF. Since  $B^*$  has a cyclic vector, there is an operator A on  $l^q$  with Lat  $A \cong \text{Lat } B^* + \alpha^*$  and  $||A^n|| = o((n!)^{-d_1})$  for some  $d_1 > 0$ . So Lat  $A^* \cong \alpha + \text{Lat } B$  and  $A^*$  has a cyclic vector. Apply Corollary 4.4.

Specific examples of B's which are interesting are the Volterra type operators of [2] and the bilateral weighted shift of [5]. A generalization of the latter is obtained in the next section. By [13, Theorem 4.4], if B is unicellular, then B and B\* have cyclic vectors. So in this case, only the growth condition of Corollary 4.6 need be verified.

REMARK 4.7. If T is a shift of class  $\alpha$  with weights in [a, b] and a > 1, then T is nuclear (trace class). In general, on Hilbert space, T is of class  $\mathscr{C}_p$  if pa > 1.

REMARK 4.8. If may be desirable to have unicellular operators with lattice order isomorphic to  $\alpha + 1$  for which  $||T^n||$  dies off at a prescribed (very fast) rate. A similar construction to the preceding one can achieve this if one uses Proposition 3.5

in place of Lemma 3.1. One needs the easy fact that given any countable collection of sequences, there is a sequence which decays much quicker than any of them. It seems inevitable that in general the weights of  $A_{\beta}$  must die off at an incredibly fast pace.

5. Unicellular operators of integer type. In [5], Domar shows that certain bilateral weighted shifts are unicellular, and thus have a lattice order isomorphic to  $1 + \mathbf{Z} + 1$ . We show that methods analogous to those of §§2-4 allow us to put finitely many or  $\omega$  of these shifts together.

THEOREM 5.1. For each  $m \le \omega$ , there is a nuclear operator T on  $l^p$  or  $c_0$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ , with Lat T order isomorphic to  $(1 + \mathbf{Z} + 1)m$  (also  $(1 + \mathbf{Z} + 1)\omega + 1$ ), and  $||T^n|| = o((n!)^{-1})$ .

To facilitate the exposition, say that an operator B is of type  $Z_p$ , for p > 0, if:

- (a)  $||B^n|| = o((n!)^{-p}).$
- (b) There exist vectors  $g_n$ ,  $n \ge 0$ , such that  $||g_0|| = 1$ ,  $Bg_n = g_{n-1}$ ,  $n \ge 1$ , and  $b_n = ||g_n||/||g_{n+1}||$  is decreasing.
  - (c) The smallest B invariant subspace containing  $\{g_n, n \ge 0\}$  is  $\mathcal{H}$ .

LEMMA 5.2. Given B of type  $Z_p$ , and 0 < s < p, there is an operator T of type  $Z_s$  such that Lat  $T \cong \text{Lat } B + (1 + \mathbf{Z} + 1)$ .

PROOF. The desired operator will have the form  $T = \begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ 0 & A \end{bmatrix}$  where A is a bilateral, unicellular weighted shift and C is a compact operator taking certain vectors  $e_{\mu_n}$  to multiples of  $g_{\nu_n}$ , where  $\mu_n$  and  $\nu_n$  both tend to infinity with n. As before, we will show that certain monomials in T converge to operators of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & D & N \\ 0 & 0 & N \end{pmatrix}$ . However, in order to make certain norm estimates, we have been obliged to make a very delicate choice in our construction.

Fix r such that s < r < p. Let A be a bilateral weighted shift with positive weights  $a_n$ . Set

$$\Omega_n = ||A^n e_0|| = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i$$
 and  $\Omega_{-n} = ||A^{*n} e_0^*|| = \prod_{i=1}^n a_{-i}$ 

for  $n \ge 0$ . Choose the  $a_n$  so that

- (i)  $a_n = (n+1)^{-r}$  for  $n \ge 0$ , so  $\Omega_n = (n!)^{-r}$ ;
- (ii)  $a_{-n}$  is monotone decreasing and  $\Omega_{-n} = O(4^{-n^2})$ ; and
- (iii)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{-n} ||g_{2n}|| < \infty.$

Conditions (i) and (ii), together with Corollary 3.2 imply that  $||T^n|| = O((n!)^{-s})$ , regardless of the choice of C. The monotonicity and the fact that  $\Omega_{-n}$  decreases rapidly imply [5] that A is unicellular. Condition (iii) will be used to control certain terms that occur in the computation of  $T^n$ .

Fix a decreasing sequence  $c_n$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n < \infty$ . We will define sequences of positive integers  $\nu_n$  and  $\mu_n$ , and then C will be defined as  $C = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n ||g_{\nu_n}||^{-1} g_{\nu_n} \otimes e_{\mu_n}^*$ . Also, set  $t_n = \nu_n + \mu_n$  and  $R = [r^{-1} + 1]$ . The numbers  $\nu_n$  and  $\mu_n$  will be defined inductively so that

(iv) 
$$b_{\nu_{n+1}-t_n} < 2^{-1}c_{n+1}t_n^{-r}$$
;

(v)  $v_{n+1} > 4t_n$ ; and

(vi) 
$$b_{2k}b_{2k+1} < a_{\mu_{n+1}+R-1} \le b_{2k-2}b_{2k-1}$$
 where  $k = \nu_{n+1} - 2t_n$ .

To achieve this, first choose any  $\nu$  large enough to satisfy (iv) and (v). Let  $\mu_{n+1}$  be the least integer so that  $a_{\mu_{n+1}+R-1} \le b_{2k'-2}b_{2k'-1}$  for  $k' = \nu - 2t_n$ . Then take  $\nu_{n+1}$  to be the unique integer  $\ge \nu$  satisfying (vi).

Let  $K = \max\{\Omega_{-k} || g_{2k} ||, || B^k || / \Omega_k : k \ge 0\}$ , which is finite by (a), (i) and (iii). For each  $m \ge 0$ ,

$$||A^{m}|| = \max_{0 \le k \le m} \Omega_{-k} \Omega_{m-k} \le K \max_{0 \le k \le m} ||g_{2k}||^{-1} \Omega_{m-k} = \eta(m)$$

and  $||B^m|| \le K\Omega_m \le \eta(m)$  as well. The sequence  $\eta$  is decreasing. To calculate  $\eta(m)$ , consider the ratios

$$\beta_k = \frac{\|g_{2k+2}\|^{-1}\Omega_{m-k-1}}{\|g_{2k}\|^{-1}\Omega_{m-k}} = \frac{b_{2k}b_{2k+1}}{a_{m-k-1}}.$$

These  $\beta_k$  decrease monotonely since, by (b),  $b_{2k}b_{2k+1}$  is decreasing, and by (i),  $a_{m-k}$  is increasing with k. So the maximum  $\eta(m)$  occurs when  $\beta_k < 1 \le \beta_{k-1}$ . That means, when

$$b_{2k}b_{2k+1} < a_{m-k-1}$$
 and  $a_{m-k} \le b_{2k-2}b_{2k-1}$ .

For  $m_{n+1} = t_{n+1} + R - 2t_n$ , condition (vi) shows that this holds exactly for  $k = v_{n+1} - 2t_n$ . Thus,

$$\begin{split} \eta(m_{n+1}) &= K \frac{\Omega_{\mu_{n+1} + R}}{\|g_{2\nu_{n+1} - 4t_n}\|} \leqslant K \frac{\Omega_{\mu_{n+1}} \mu_{n+1}^{-rR}}{\|g_{\nu_{n+1}}\|} b_{\nu_{n+1}}^{\nu_{n+1} - 4t_{n+1}} \\ &< \frac{K}{2\mu_{n+1}} \left[ \frac{c_{n+1} \Omega_{\mu_{n+1}}}{\|g_{\nu_{n+1}}\|} \right] t_n^{-r} < \frac{Kt_n^{-r}}{t_{n+1} \lambda_{n+1}} \,. \end{split}$$

The last line follows because of (i), (iv), and the fact that (a), (i) and (vi) easily imply that  $t_{n+1} < 2\mu_{n+1}$ .

Fix  $N \ge 1$ , and consider  $\lambda_n T^{t_n+1-N}$ , where

$$\lambda_n = c_n^{-1} \Omega_{\mu_n}^{-1} ||g_{\nu_n}||.$$

For *n* large enough,  $N-1 \le 2t_{n-1} - R$  and hence  $m_n \le t_n + 1 - N$ . We obtain that  $\|\lambda_n A^{t_n+1-N}\|$  and  $\|\lambda_n B^{t_n+1-N}\|$  are both less than  $Kt_n^{-1}t_{n-1}^{-r}$ , which tends to zero as *n* increases. Let  $X_n$  be the (1,2) entry of  $\lambda_n T^{t_n+1-N}$ . Set

$$X_{n,m} = \lambda_n \sum_{j=0}^{t_n - N} c_m ||g_{\nu_m}||^{-1} B^j g_{\nu_m} \otimes A^{*t_n - N - j} e_{\mu_m}^*.$$

Note that  $X_n = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} X_{n,m}$ .

First, consider m = n.

$$X_{n,n} = \lambda_n c_n ||g_{\nu_n}||^{-1} \sum_{j=-\nu_n}^{\mu_n - N} g_{-j} \otimes A^{*\mu_n - N - j} e_{\mu_n}^*$$

$$= \sum_{j=-\nu_n}^{\mu_n - N} g_{-j} \otimes \alpha_j e_{N+j}^*,$$

where  $g_{-j} = B^j g_0$  if  $j \ge 1$ ,  $\alpha_j = \Omega_{N+j}^{-1}$  if  $N+j \ge 0$ , and  $\alpha_j = \Omega_{N+j}$  if N+j < 0. One has

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|g_{-j}\|\Omega_{N+j}^{-1} \leqslant \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \|B^{j}\|\Omega_{N+j}^{-1} \leqslant \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j!)^{-p} ((N+j)!)^{r} < \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{j=-2N}^{-\infty} \|g_{-j}\|\Omega_{N+j} = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \|g_{N+n}\|\Omega_{-n} \leqslant \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \|g_{2n}\|\Omega_{-n} < \infty.$$

Hence,  $X_{n,n}$  converges to  $D_N = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} g_{-j} \otimes \alpha_j e_{N+j}^*$ . Next, consider m < n:

$$\begin{split} \|X_{n,m}\| & \leq \lambda_{n} c_{m}(t_{n}+1-N) \max_{0 \leq j \leq t_{n}-N} \frac{\|B^{j}g_{\nu_{m}}\|}{\|g_{\nu_{m}}\|} \|A^{*t_{n}-N-j}e_{\mu_{m}}^{*}\| \\ & \leq \lambda_{n} c_{m} t_{n} K \max_{0 \leq j \leq t_{n}-N-\mu_{m}} \Omega_{j} \|A^{*t_{n}-\mu_{m}-N-j}e_{0}^{*}\| \\ & \leq c_{m} \lambda_{n} t_{n} K \max_{0 \leq j \leq t_{n}-\mu_{n-1}-N} \Omega_{j} \Omega_{-(t_{n}-\mu_{n-1}-N-j)} \\ & \leq c_{m} \lambda_{n} t_{n} \eta(m_{n}) < c_{m} t_{n-1}^{-r}. \end{split}$$

Finally, we estimate  $||X_{n,m}||$  for m > n.

$$\begin{split} \|X_{n,m}\| & \leq c_m \lambda_n t_n \max_{0 \leq j \leq t_n - N} \frac{\|B^j g_{\nu_m}\|}{\|g_{\nu_m}\|} \|A^{*t_n - N - j} e_{\mu_m}^*\| \\ & = c_m \lambda_n t_n \max_{0 \leq j \leq t_n - N} \frac{\|g_{\nu_m - j}\|}{\|g_{\nu_m}\|} \frac{\Omega_{\mu_m}}{\Omega_{\mu_m + N + j - t_n}}. \end{split}$$

Compute the ratio of the (j + 1)st term to the jth term

$$\begin{split} \frac{\|g_{\nu_m-j-1}\|}{\|g_{\nu_m-j}\|} \frac{\Omega_{\mu_m+N+j-t_n}}{\Omega_{\mu_m+N+j+1-t_n}} &> \frac{b_{\nu_m}}{a_{\mu_m-t_n}} = \frac{b_{\nu_m}}{a_{\mu_m+R}} \left[ \frac{\mu_m+R+1}{\mu_m-t_n+1} \right]^{-r} \\ &> 2^{-r} \frac{b_{\nu_m}}{b\nu_m^2} = 2^{-r} b_{\nu_m}^{-1} > 2^{-r} b_{\nu_n}^{-1}. \end{split}$$

For *n* sufficiently large, the ratio is greater than 1 for all *j*. So the maximum is attained at  $j = t_n - N$ . Thus

$$||X_{n,m}|| \leq c_m \lambda_n t_n \frac{||g_{\nu_m}|| - t_n||}{||g_{\nu_m}||}$$

$$\leq c_m \lambda_n t_n (b_{\nu_{n+1} - t_n})^{\nu_n} (b_{\nu_{n+1} - t_n})^{\mu_n - N}$$

$$\leq c_m \lambda_n t_m ||g_{\nu_n}||^{-1} (c_{n+1} t_n^{-r} / 2)^{\mu_n - N}$$

$$< c_m [(\mu_n!)^r (t_n^{\mu_n - N})^{-r}] [t_n 2^{N - \mu_n}].$$

Because  $\mu_n < t_n < 2\mu_n$ , it follows that both bracketed expressions tend to zero as n tends to infinity.

Combining these last two estimates and  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} c_m < \infty$ , we obtain  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{m\neq n} ||X_{n,m}|| = 0$ . Altogether, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n T^{t_n+1-N} = D_N.$$

Let  $\mathscr{M}$  be an invariant subspace for T. If  $\mathscr{M}$  is contained in  $\mathscr{H}_1 \oplus 0$ , it must belong to Lat B. Otherwise, the projection  $\overline{P_{\mathscr{H}_2}\mathscr{M}} = \mathscr{N}$  is invariant for A, and thus contains some  $e_{n_0}$ . Since  $\mathscr{M}$  is also invariant for each  $D_N$ ,  $\mathscr{M}$  contains  $D_N e_{n_0} = \alpha_{n_0 - N} g_{N - n_0}$  for all  $N \ge 1$ . By (c),  $\mathscr{M}$  contains all of  $\mathscr{H}_1 \oplus 0$ . Hence  $\mathscr{M} = \mathscr{H}_1 \oplus \mathscr{N}$ .

This shows that Lat  $T \cong \text{Lat } B + (1 + \mathbf{Z} + 1)$ . The vectors  $0 \oplus e_{-n}$  satisfy conditions (b) and (c). Thus T is of class  $Z_s$ .

COROLLARY 5.3. There are nuclear operators T on  $l^p$  and  $c_0$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ , which are unicellular with lattice order isomorphic to n copies of  $(1 + \mathbf{Z} + 1)$ .

COROLLARY 5.4. There is a nuclear operator T with Lat T order isomorphic to  $1 + (1 + \mathbf{Z} + 1)\omega^*$ .

PROOF. Pick constants  $1 < r_1 < r_2 < \cdots < 2, 2 > p_1 > p_2 > \cdots > 1$  and  $\varepsilon_i$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_i < \infty$ . Let  $A_i$  be bilateral weighted shifts with weights  $a_n^{(i)} = \varepsilon_i n^{-r_i}$ ,  $n \ge 0$ ,

$$a_{-n}^{(i)} = \varepsilon_i 4^{-n^{p_i}}, \qquad n \geqslant 0.$$

Then

$$\Omega_{-n}^{(i)} = \prod_{k=1}^{n} a_{-k}^{(i)} = \varepsilon_{i}^{n} \exp\left(-\log 4 \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{p_{i}}\right) = o\left(4^{-n^{2}}\right)$$

since  $p_i > 1$ . Also

$$\begin{split} \frac{\Omega_{-n-1}^{(i)}/\Omega_{-2(n+1)}^{(i+1)}}{\Omega_{-n}^{(i)}/\Omega_{-2n}^{(i+1)}} &= \frac{\varepsilon_{i}4^{-(n+1)^{p_{i}}}}{\varepsilon_{i+1}^{2}4^{-(2n+1)^{p_{i+1}}-(2n+2)^{p_{i+1}}}} \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon_{i}}{\varepsilon_{i+1}^{2}}4^{-(n+1)^{p_{i}}[1-2^{p_{i+1}+1}(n+1)^{p_{i+1}-p_{i}}]}. \end{split}$$

This is less than 1 for *n* large, thus  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{-n}^{(i)} / \Omega_{-2n}^{(i+1)} < \infty$ .

Use Lemma 5.2 to define  $C_i$  from  $\mathcal{H}_i$  to  $\mathcal{H}_{i+1}$  using  $g_n = e_{-n}^{(i+1)}$  and thus  $b_n = a_{-n}^{(i+1)}$ , and choose  $\{c_n\}$  so that  $||C_i||$  conform to the requirements of Lemma 3.1. Then let

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & & & \bigcirc \\ C_1 & A_2 & & \\ & C_2 & A_3 & \\ \bigcirc & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } T_i = \begin{bmatrix} A_{i+1} & & & \bigcirc \\ C_{i+1} & A_{i+2} & & \\ & C_{i+2} & A_{i+3} & \\ \bigcirc & & \ddots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

By Lemma 3.1,  $||T_i^n|| = O((n!)^{-(r_i + r_{i+1})/2})$ . Using  $g_n = e_{-n}^{(i+1)}$ , the operator  $T_i$  satisfies (a) and (b) of the definition of type  $Z_r$  for  $r = \frac{1}{2}(r_i + r_{i+1})$ . By the proof of Lemma 5.2, there are monomials in

$$T_{i-1} = \begin{bmatrix} T_i & C_i \\ 0 & A_i \end{bmatrix}$$

which converge to

$$D_N^{(i)} = \sum_{j=-\infty}^0 e_j^{(i+1)} \otimes \alpha_j^{(i)} e_{N+j}^{(i),\star} + \sum_{j=1}^\infty T_{i+1}^j e_0^{(i+1)} \otimes \alpha_j^{(i)} e_{N+j}^{(i),\star}$$

where  $\alpha_i^{(i)}$  are constants.

Let  $\mathscr{M}$  be invariant for T. If  $\mathscr{M}$  is not zero, let  $n_0$  be the least integer such that  $\overline{P_{n_0}\mathscr{M}}=\mathscr{N}$  is not zero. Then  $\mathscr{M}$  is invariant for  $T_{i-1}$  and thus for  $D_N^{(i)},\,N\geqslant 1$ . Since  $\mathscr{N}$  is standard, it contains some  $e_m^{(i)}$ . So  $\mathscr{M}$  contains all  $e_j^{(i+1)}$  for  $j\leqslant 0$ . By induction,  $\mathscr{M}_n=\mathscr{M}\cap \sum_{i=n}^\infty \oplus \mathscr{H}_i$  contains  $e_j^{(n)},\,j\leqslant 0$  for all  $n>n_0$ . Finally, since  $C_n$  takes each  $\mathscr{H}_n$  into span $\{e_j^{(n+1)},\,j\leqslant 0\}$ , it follows that whenever  $e_j^{(n)}$  belong to  $\mathscr{M}$ ,

$$a_i^{(n)}e_{i+1}^{(n)} = A_n e_i^{(n)} = T e_i^{(n)} - c_n e_i^{(n)}$$

also belongs to  $\mathcal{M}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{M}$  contains each  $\mathcal{H}_n$  for  $n > n_0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N} \oplus \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_i$  is of the desired form.

Finally, a duality argument can be used to obtain an operator T with Lat  $T \cong (1 + \mathbf{Z} + 1)\omega + 1$  on  $l^p$ ,  $p \geqslant 1$ . It is also easy to see that the T constructed above on  $l^1$  is the dual of a  $c_0$  operator, which gives one on  $c_0$  as well.

**6. Concluding remarks.** There are basic limitations to the method described here. Since we rely on finding monomials in  $T = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$  which converge to a nonzero operator  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & D \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , it must follow that, in particular, AD = DB. In general, there will be no nonzero solutions of this equation. A case in point occurs when A and  $B^*$  are both weighted shifts. This is because A is one-to-one and span $\{\ker B^n, n \ge 0\}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Thus there is no hope of proving Domar's theorem by these methods. It also explains the requirements on the operators constructed in the last section. However, we believe that this method has not reached its limits, and that it may help to attain all countable nests.

The other method which will likely prove to be important is the use of integral operators. Given an order type of a nest, it is easy to write down integral operators whose lattice contains the desired nest. Some reasonably general criterion for when such an operator is unicellular would be desirable. An interesting test case would be to try to obtain Larson's nest order equivalent to the Cantor set. Let  $\mu$  be counting measure on  $\mathbf{Q}$ , and let  $c_{rs}$  be positive constants for r < s in  $\mathbf{Q}$  such that  $\sum_r \sum_s c_{rs} < \infty$ . Define  $Tf(r) = \sum_{r < s} c_{rs} f(s)$  for f in  $L^2(\mu)$ . Find sufficient conditions on  $\{c_{rs}\}$  for T to be unicellular.

## REFERENCES

- 1. J. Barría, On chains of invariant subspaces, Doctoral dissertation, Indiana University, 1974.
- 2. \_\_\_\_\_, The invariant subspaces of a Volterra operator, J. Operator Theory 6 (1981), 341-349.
- 3. K. R. Davidson, Similarity and compact perturbations of nest algebras, J. Reine Angew. Math. (to appear).
  - 4. J. Dixmier, Les opérateurs permutables à l'opérateur integral, Portugal. Math. 8 (1949), 73-84.
- 5. Y. Domar, Translation invariant subspaces of weighted lp and Lp spaces, Math. Scand. 49 (1981), 133-144.
- 6. W. F. Donoghue, The lattice of invariant subspaces of a completely continuous quasinilpotent transformation, Pacific J. Math. 7 (1957), 1031–1035.
- 7. R. Gellar and D. A. Herrero, *Hyperinvariant subspaces of bilateral weighted shifts*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 23 (1974), 771-790.

- 8. K. J. Harrison and W. E. Longstaff, An invariant subspace lattice of order type  $\omega + \omega + 1$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1980), 45–49.
  - 9. D. Larson, Nest algebras and similarity transformations, Ann. of Math. (to appear).
  - 10. N. K. Nikol'skii, Invariant subspaces of weighted shift operators, Math. USSR-Sb. 3 (1967), 159-175.
- 11. \_\_\_\_\_, The unicellularity and nonunicellularity of weighted shift operators, Soviet Math. Dokl. 8 (1967), 91-94.
- 12. \_\_\_\_\_, Selected problems of weighted approximation and spectral analysis, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 120 (1974).
  - 13. H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal, Invariant subspaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1973.
  - 14. P. Rosenthal, Examples of invariant subspace lattices, Duke Math. J. 37 (1970), 103-112.
- 15. A. Shields, Weighted shift operators and analytic function theory, Topics in Operator Theory (C. Pearcy, ed.), Math. Surveys, vol. 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1974, pp. 49–128.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMATICAS, INSTITUTO VENEZOLANO, DE INVESTIGACIONES CIENTIFICAS, CARACAS 1010 - A, VENEZUELA

Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1, Canada